

In the Supreme Court  
OF THE  
United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1982

PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE TRIBE OF INDIANS,  
*Petitioner,*

vs.

TRUCKEE-CARSON IRRIGATION DISTRICT,  
STATE OF NEVADA, UNITED STATES  
OF AMERICA, et al.,  
*Respondents.*

**STATEMENT OF RESPONDENT SIERRA PACIFIC  
POWER COMPANY IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION  
FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE AND PETITION FOR  
WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES  
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

JOHN MADARIAGA\*  
SUSAN L. OLDHAM  
MARGARET A. GLODOWSKI  
THOMAS R. SHEETS  
JAMES D. SALO  
100 East Moana Lane  
Reno, Nevada 89502  
(702) 789-4228

*Attorneys for Respondent  
Sierra Pacific Power*

*\*Counsel of Record*

No. 82-1723

In the Supreme Court  
OF THE  
United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1982

PYRAMID LAKE PAIUTE TRIBE OF INDIANS,  
*Petitioner,*

vs.

TRUCKEE-CARSON IRRIGATION DISTRICT,  
STATE OF NEVADA, UNITED STATES  
OF AMERICA, et al.,  
*Respondents.*

**STATEMENT OF RESPONDENT SIERRA PACIFIC  
POWER COMPANY IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION  
FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE AND PETITION FOR  
WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES  
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**STATEMENT**

The Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe has stated in its petition that it does not intend by its petition to affect the "large number of upstream users." Sierra Pacific Power Company, as one of those users, is concerned with the comprehensive management of the river by one entity, the Nevada State Engineer. Accordingly, Sierra Pacific Power Company opposes the Tribe's petition for intervention and petition for

certiorari and adopts the statement of the Respondent State of Nevada in opposition to the Tribe's petitions.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN MADARIAGA\*  
SUSAN L. OLDHAM  
MARGARET A. GLODOWSKI  
THOMAS R. SHEETS  
JAMES D. SALO

*Attorneys for Respondent  
Sierra Pacific Power  
Company*

*\*Counsel of Record*